Register Now! CHD's 2nd Annual Conference • Nov. 3-5

08/15/23 • BIG FOOD • BIG ENERGY › VIEWS

Bill Gates Promotes 'Net Zero' as Climate Fix — Critics Call It a 'Financial Scam'

In an interview with The Defender, environmental activist and food sovereignty advocate Vandana Shiva, Ph.D., said the growing "net zero" movement promoted by Bill Gates and other globalists is a scam allowing polluters to buy credits instead of reducing emissions, enabling land grabs and corporate control.

By W. Aaron Vandiver

33

Miss a day, miss a lot.** Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.

Bill Gates is known as one of the world's leading proponents of "net zero." The tech billionaire said that reaching "net zero" greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2050 will be "the most difficult challenge humanity has ever taken on" and "the most amazing thing humanity has ever done."

Shifting the world's greenhouse gas emissions from today's 52 billion tons to net zero over the next three decades, according to Gates, means "we need to find better ways to do pretty much everything" from the "food we eat" to the "buildings we live in," because "[v]irtually every human activity produces greenhouse gas emissions."

But when Gates refers to "net zero," he does not mean actual zero, as in no emissions.

Adding the word "net" into the equation for redoing "virtually every human activity" substantially changes the meaning of "zero."

And Gates has helped convince most countries of the world to add that small, poorly understood, seemingly harmless word "net" into their climate change pledges.

So what, exactly, does net zero emissions mean to Gates and others who share his ambitions?

Ecological activist Vandana Shiva, Ph.D., told The Defender:

> "'Net zero,' as Bill Gates admits in his book, 'How to Avoid a Climate Disaster,' is not about polluters stopping their pollution. It is about polluters getting new profits by creating new markets for fake techno-fixes like geoengineering and fake food, and new forms of land grab through 'carbon offsets.'
>
> "Net zero is a financial scam like the subprime crisis of 2008, which led to the collapse of Wall Street and entire economies."

The future implications of net zero are far-reaching. The worldwide push for net zero has turned "carbon credits" into globally traded financial instruments, giving big corporations and billionaires a kind of "license to pollute."

The global "carbon market" has established new ways for economic elites to grab land, profit from dubious technologies and attempt to corner the market on literally any activity that produces carbon, potentially encompassing "virtually every human activity," in Gates' words.

RFK Jr./Hooker NEW book: Vax-Unvax. Order Now!

History of net zero and its potential for abuse

The concept of net zero existed primarily in academic papers and reports until it was incorporated into the 2015 Paris Agreement, at the urging of powerful advocates. Since then, the world's commitment to "net" zero has exploded.

Shiva recently told Russell Brand, "I watched Bill Gates take over the U.N. [United Nations] system with the climate summit in Paris in 2015."

And in another interview, Shiva said this about Gates.

> "He cooked up a word, which I had never heard before that, called 'net zero.' And he said we have to solve climate problems by net zero.
>
> "It doesn't mean we get rid of emissions. He flies in a private jet and has all the private jet services of the world. He says it doesn't mean we will stop polluting. He says it just means we have to find other people's lands for offsets to absorb our pollution."

Here is how net zero is supposed to work.

In Article 4 of The Paris Agreement, the parties agreed that sources of emissions must be "balanced" by "removals" of greenhouse gases.

Article 6 referred to "internationally transferred mitigation outcomes," meaning carbon credits that can be bought, sold and traded across international borders.

The Paris Agreement has led to the emergence of a global "carbon market" in which governments and private actors buy and sell credits by funding activities that reduce or avoid carbon emissions, or those that remove carbon from the atmosphere.

Removal credits can be obtained through technological methods of removal such as "direct air capture" — a technology as yet unproven at scale — or through land-based methods such as the preservation of forests and sequestering of carbon in farmland soil.

Big emitters that obtain credits can use them to "offset" their emissions, so they don't actually have to make any cuts.

This idea of achieving "net zero" via a global market for carbon credits was a departure from an earlier understanding of how climate change should be addressed, according to Shiva.

"Stopping the pollution that is driving climate chaos and climate destabilization is an ecological obligation, both to protect the rights of the Earth as a living organism and the human rights of those lives we are losing because of climate catastrophes," Shiva told The Defender.

"That means we must move towards 'real zero' in terms of emissions. Since the .1% is responsible for most of the pollution, the polluters must stop polluting, and as was agreed in Rio at the Earth Summit, polluters must pay," she said.

Net zero turned this logic on its head, giving big polluters the right to financially buy up "emissions rights." This is how carbon credits became "Wall Street's favorite climate solution."

"The former Bank of England governor, Mark Carney, now heads the U.N. Net Zero initiative," Shiva said, "which is being described as 'changing the plumbing of the whole financial system forever.'"

With this kind of financial power behind it, the global drive for net zero has taken off since the 2015 Paris Agreement. By 2019, countries covering one-sixth of the global economy had made net zero pledges, and by 2021, net zero pledges covered nine-tenths of the global economy.

In July, Gates said the next U.N. climate conference — COP28, to be held Nov. 30-Dec. 12 — "will be a critical opportunity for the world's leaders to come together and take real steps to accelerate our path to net-zero carbon emissions."



Bill Gates
@BillGates

#COP28 will be a critical opportunity for the world's leaders to come together and take real steps to accelerate our path to net-zero carbon emissions. cop28.com/en/letter-to-p...

10:23 AM · Jul 26, 2023

'Largely worthless' carbon offsets and 'dangerous' geoengineering

Estimates are that the market for "carbon credits" could be $100 billion by mid-century.

Carbon credits have come under greater scrutiny in the last few years, however, as big corporations have bought large amounts of them in a public-relations effort to improve their environmental reputations. Critics call this "greenwashing."

Research on offsets shows "the large majority are not real or are over-credited or both," Barbara Haya, Ph.D., director of the Berkeley Carbon Trading Project, concluded.

"The forest carbon offsets approved by the world's leading certifier and used by Disney, Shell, Gucci, and other big corporations are largely worthless," according to an investigation led by The Guardian.

Nevertheless, the push to achieve net zero by allowing big emitters to obtain carbon credits has picked up pace.

President Joe Biden's signature climate change law, the Inflation Reduction Act, was a financial "bonanza for the carbon capture industry," according to Time, even though technological methods of "carbon capture" have never been proven to be economically feasible at scale.

Geoengineering — directly manipulating the Earth's climate — is another potential source of carbon credits. Some startups are already trying to market geoengineering carbon credits with various schemes like spraying chemicals into the atmosphere to reflect sunlight or sequestering carbon in seagrasses.

While geoengineering is considered to be dangerous and premature at best, the White House nevertheless issued a report in June recommending that some forms of geoengineering should be studied, and mentioning the possible future involvement of "private actors."

Buying up carbon credits — a 'global gold rush'

In contrast to speculative and unproven technological methods of carbon removal, land-based carbon capture in forests and farmlands can sequester carbon on a significant scale.

With the race to acquire available carbon credits by 2050, there is a huge financial incentive for big players in the global economy to buy up land capable of producing carbon credits in a sort of global "gold rush." Only those who own the limited number of land-based credits will be able to claim the right to emit greenhouse gases.

The global rush for land-based carbon credits was predicted by when the goal of net zero was first introduced. Some environmental activists were concerned the less-developed countries of the global south would be targeted.

Those predictions have turned out to be accurate.

The African nation of Liberia just announced it is conceding about 10% of its land — over 2 million acres of forest — to a company from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) called Blue Carbon LLC. This "transfer of pollution rights," as the parties refer to it, will allow the UAE to "meet its climate commitments." (UAE will be the site of the U.N.'s CoP28 later this year.)

Blue Carbon is in similar talks with Zambia and Tanzania.

Last year, Nigeria granted access to over 4 million acres of land to a U.S.-based company, African Agriculture Inc. The company plans to plant trees and then sell the carbon credits to high emitters.

Order Now 'The Wuhan Cover-up' by RFK Jr.!

Critics call deals like this "carbon colonialism," because land-based carbon-credit schemes take land access away from local people and grant it to foreign governments and international financial interests. Moreover, the environmental benefits are often overblown.

In July, French President Emmanuel Macron traveled to Papua New Guinea to promote both a large conservation project and a $10 billion Liquid Natural Gas (LNG) investment in the country by French energy giant TotalEnergies.

A TotalEnergies executive claimed the carbon credits from the conservation project would be used to "offset" emissions from the LNG project.

The land rush for "carbon credits" is not limited to developing countries — it's been making its way into industrialized Western countries.

"Scotland is on the global frontlines of The Great Net-Zero Land Grab," reads one headline about multinational corporations and investment funds that have been pouring money into rural Scottish land, including the country's carbon-rich peatlands.

In the Netherlands, despite fierce resistance from farmers, the Dutch government has been moving forward with plans to expropriate up to 3,000 farms to meet the country's climate goals.

Dutch farmers are being targeted for a land grab, Shiva said.

Perhaps not coincidentally, in 2021, the Dutch bank Rabobank established the first pilot projects of the Rabo Carbon Bank. This followed a report that year by the European Commission laying out profitable strategies for "carbon farming."

According to Rabo Carbon Bank's CEO Barbara Baarsma, "the market potential is enormous."

The drive to shut down farms can only be understood within the larger context of this potentially "enormous" global carbon market, with its voracious demand for land-based carbon credits.

A single oil company, Shell, would need land 3 times the size of the Netherlands for its net zero plans, according to some estimates.

The land-based credits that could be generated by shutting down thousands of Dutch farms could eventually be bought by investors, and then credited to big polluters elsewhere within the global market who could use them to "offset" their continuing emissions.

This dynamic may help explain why Gates bought 250,000 acres of U.S. farmland in recent years, a subject of much speculation as people wonder why Gates made himself America's biggest "farmer." Such a huge amount of land could position him to take advantage of the global carbon market.

As net-zero land grabs push out small and medium-sized food producers, people like Gates who are heavily invested in high-tech food companies that purport to be climate-friendly — fake meat producers, for example — are able to seize market share and take further control of the food supply.

Shiva said net-zero land grabs help bring about the vision of a high-tech food future promoted by Gates and Silicon Valley investors: "farming without farmers" and "food without farms."

Food is Gates' "new empire," according to Shiva. His plans include "controlling land, controlling seeds, destroying real food to replace it with lab food, and eliminating farmers from farming altogether," using patented seeds and various "climate-smart" technologies.

How far can the abuse of 'net zero' be taken in the future?

Beyond land grabs and dubious techno-fixes, the attempt by economic elites to corner the market on carbon credits has additional implications for the future.

In a future in which each individual citizen may have a personal carbon allowance — an idea that has been floated by some activists, politicians and international institutions — allowing carbon credits to be bought and sold would give economic elites a way to buy up the future "consumption rights" of everyone else.

Here is how Rabo Carbon Bank's Baarsma, a World Economic Forum (WEF) "agenda contributor," said a personal carbon allowance would work:

> "If I want to fly, I buy some carbon emission rights from someone who can't afford to fly, for example … Or if someone lives in a small house, he can sell his carbon emission rights to someone who lives in a big house. This way, poor people can benefit from the green economy."

Although Baarsma tried to put a positive spin on the idea, allowing ultra-wealthy individuals and large corporations to purchase "carbon emission rights" from the poor and the middle class would be to allow the top 1% to buy up people's future "consumption rights" for anything that produces carbon — including everything from housing and farming to transportation and travel.

Decentralizing is key to upending net zero

Net zero "is not about healing the earth and regenerating her ecological systems and processes. It is not about stopping pollution. It is about continuing to pollute and finding new ways to pollute," Shiva told The Defender.

When it comes to land, Shiva advocates for food sovereignty: control of food and farmland by farmers and local peoples engaged in traditional, non-industrial, regenerative methods of agriculture.

"We need to support small farms that care for the earth, for all life and produce biodiverse, healthy, fresh, environmentally friendly food for all," she said.

Small farmers using ecological methods stand in contrast to the billionaires and big corporations using net zero as an excuse to grab land and profit from carbon credits, controlling the food supply using industrial methods that are harmful to the environment and human health.

The key to an authentic, grassroots, ecologically sensible response to their efforts is to decentralize power, Shiva and other critics of net zero have suggested.

Financial schemes like net zero that pretend to address climate change, but in reality allow the top 1% to concentrate power over farmland and food, profit from dubious technologies, and exercise financial control over human activities that produce carbon — "virtually every human activity," in Gates' words — must be rejected.

A "shift from globalization driven by multinational corporations to progressive localization of our economies has become an ecological and social imperative," Shiva wrote, "to protect both people's lives and the environment."

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



W. Aaron Vandiver
W. Aaron Vandiver is a writer, former litigator and wildlife conservationist. He is the author of the novel, "Under a Poacher's Moon."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

33 Comments Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 1 • Share Best Newest Oldest

catherine
a day ago
I really believe that Bill Gates is a demon disguised as a human. He is involved in every single thing that human life needs to exist and committed to destroying it all.
21 0 Reply • Share ›

tag → catherine
18 hours ago
I agree 100% Nothing human about him. My faith tells me God is going to have a hey day with him. Lets see if his bucks buys his way out of it. i doubt it.
2 0 Reply • Share ›

obm31770
a day ago
Bill Gates is a net zero.
19 0 Reply • Share ›

tag → obm31770
18 hours ago
" Let there be peace on earth and let it begin with me.'
Let there be depopulation on earth and let it begin with Bill!
4 0 Reply • Share ›

Peter van der Stam → obm31770
6 hours ago
He is worth, NET ZERO, but has too much influence left , right and center.
Isn't he a member of Schwab his WEF??
Dangerous lot.
0 0 Reply • Share ›

notaluvvie
a day ago
If Gates is involved you can count on it being a scam to enrich him.
17 0 Reply • Share ›

tag → notaluvvie
18 hours ago
Does anyone know how to get a ticket to watch billy on his judgement day? I would pay big time to watch that. If he has 1 brain cell left, he better check that smug smile at the door. God isn't stupid!
0 0 Reply • Share ›

stevor → notaluvvie
18 hours ago
there are two things that demonic people want, money and power. He has more money than anybody could use so now he wants the power of GOD over humanity
0 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover
a day ago
Except it doesn't apply to him and his ilk.
8 0 Reply • Share ›

MICHAEL
a day ago
RATHER THAN KEEP LISTING THE HUNDRED WAYS THAT BILL GATES LIES, BEST TO JUST ACKNOWLEDGE WHEN HIS LIPS ARE MOVING HE'S LYING. WE COULD ALSO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WHEN HE SAYS HE'S TRYING TO HELP, WHAT HE MEANS IS HE'S TRYING TO HELP KILL OFF ANOTHER BILLION PEOPLE BECAUSE WE'RE 7B OVER AND HE WOULD LIKE TO HELP FIX THAT.
7 0 Reply • Share ›

HLT
a day ago
To me the whole CO2 hysteria sounds like Mao's Great Leap Forward 2.0. CO2 is crucial for life on earth and if we suck it out our atmosphare the plants will die. If we do not stop this insanity we will end up just like the Great Leap ended up: Mass starvation!
7 0 Reply • Share ›

tag → HLT
18 hours ago
We will also wind up fighting for every breathe we take. Plants exhale oxygen and last time I checked it's kind of important to mammals of all kinds. We won't live long enough to starve.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

disqussion2 → HLT
18 hours ago
Current CO2 levels are 420ppm, and pre-industrialisation they were 280ppm. It stands to reason that any CO2 removal down to 280ppm would not cause plants to die, because plants were doing fine before the industrial revolution.
0 1 Reply • Share ›

RegT → disqussion2
11 hours ago edited
Claiming that current CO2 levels are 420 ppm is an obvious fake. CO2 levels vary over the entire earth, and in many places have been significantly reduced. Huge, whole forests have been, and are still being destroyed in South America, and even small Pacific islands have had forested regions taken out to support large corporations in their quest for even greater income.
Besides, CO2 has been higher during periods long before the Industrial Revolution. CO2 is no true measure of either pollution (since life cannot exist without it - even human life) or damage to the environment. It is simply being used by the wealthy and elite (such as Al Gore, Klaus Schwab, Soros, and Bill Gates) to enrich themselves and to continue their efforts to reduce the human population by over 90%.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

stevor
18 hours ago
what a SCAM
it's been PROVED that CO2 does not cause warming in a study of 400,000 years of ice core samples in Vostok, Antarctica.
It's all about getting idiots to accept PRISON
6 0 Reply • Share ›

YogicCowboy
17 hours ago
Bill Gates - like all of his scams - is a fraud: William Gates II (father, connection to legal and banking resources), Mary Gates (mother, connection to John Opel, CEO of IBM), Paul Allen (actual engineering), and Tim Paterson (MS-DOS developer), all had more to do with his success than he did. Billy Bad Boy is a narcissistic, misanthropic lunatic.
3 0 Reply • Share ›

tag
19 hours ago
If Bill Gates was as smart as he thinks he is, he would understand net zero = end game for life, all life on this planet. Pretty obvious he has no formal education in anything, especially science. All these "green planet" promoters have no idea what makes the planet green. CO2!
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal
21 hours ago edited
Bill Gates has enough money to end World Hunger, End Poverty and Clean our Oceans…but instead he chooses to Block the Sun, Create Fake Meat made from Cancer…and most importantly…produces TOXIC 'vaccines' for 9 Billion People…and no one is asking why?

Gates will have been composted (cremation is not consider 'green', neither burials) by 2050. The sooner, the better 🤑🤬
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Donna Sheehan
21 hours ago
So here we go again, Bill Gates is behind this. Yes, he's got more power than our elected officials who don't work for we the people, but there billion dollar donors to continue to pollute the planet. When does it stop Rockefeller Lockstep, Event 201, the corruption is rampant to benefit themselves
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Boopjr
21 hours ago
Here we go. Gates from hell now on a new quest to control our food, cars and God knows what else. We must stop this power hungry Psychopath before he kills us all. And the world's economic forum too,must be stopped
3 0 Reply • Share ›

Dennis
21 hours ago
Only a moron or a truly evil person would think that eliminating CO2 is a good idea. It would mean the end of life on earth. Stunningly stupid.
2 0 Reply • Share ›

seahawk
8 hours ago
No one any more corrupt on the planet than Bill Gates. He will have us all eating fake food soon. Reminds me of the movie Soylent Green from 1973. Why not, the movie 1984 is coming true. The factor that all these bozo's have not considered in all their plans is the creator of this beautiful planet. Just how long he allows this ruining of the earth remains to be seen but he promises to bring to ruin those ruining the earth (Rev. 11:18).
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal
20 hours ago
The whole scam of 'net zero' starts with assumptions about CO2. From pure chemical thermodynamics and abundance in our atmosphere, CO2 seems to be a minor player, at best. Its true contribution to the greenhouse effect is almost non-existent when compared to N2, O2, and Water Vapour.
Why do we ignore a more potent greenhouse gas (WV), that exists in far greater ranges and has much more influence on climate? Could it be that we cannot even begin to control humans since we cannot control water due to its abundance on our planet?
1 0 Reply • Share ›

tag → Voyt Regnal
19 hours ago
Instead of less CO2 maybe we should realize we need more in the atmosphere? I understand it is now about 400 PPM. It has been dropping since the beginning of time and if it hits 150, lights out. It's what plants breathe and then exhale oxygen. We breathe oxygen and exhale CO2. What a perfect balanced world God created then we got the Frankenstein, god wannabe's to mess it up. And that is what the continue to do. Mess it up.
3 0 Reply • Share ›

maggie → tag
14 hours ago
Instead they are chopping down millions of trees, digging honking big holes in the ground for rare minerals, enslaving children to dig for cobalt, poisoning the earth to clean lithium for their fake non recyclable

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News

Dr. Pierre Kory: Why We Wrote the USA Today Op-ed on Excess Deaths — and Why We Never Mentioned 'Vaccines'

CRISPR Gene Editing: New Evidence of 'Potentially Irreversible Safety Risks'

'A Fauci Clone': New NIAID Director Oversaw Remdesivir Trials, Has Ties to Biosafety Lab Research

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

What's Behind the Rapid Spread of Red Meat Allergy — Ticks? Vaccines? Something Else?

Review Adds to Evidence That Glyphosate Weedkillers Cause Cancer

New Book by Doctors for COVID Ethics Details Dangers of mRNA Vaccines

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

renewables, stealing our future.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Dean Jackson
20 hours ago edited

"The stated purpose of the 1,300-mile-long pipeline is to capture carbon dioxide (CO2) from the atmosphere and bury it into the ground as a means to combat climate change."

https://www.cfact.org/2023/...

The purpose is to warm, not cool the atmosphere. Injecting any gas molecule into the troposphere COOLS the troposphere by displacing already existing warmer molecules present in the atmosphere, otherwise known as dislocation/displacement, a process belonging to the Second Law of Thermodynamics.*

Let's inject carbon dioxide into the troposphere...the result being the denser molecule sinks, displacing upwards the warmer molecules below. As these warmer molecules rise, they in turn further cool the troposphere by displacing downwards the cooler molecules from above.

Let's inject methane into the troposphere...the lighter than air molecule rises, pushing downwards the cooler molecules above, thereby cooling the area of dislocation. As these cooler molecules fall, they in turn further cool the troposphere by displacing upwards warmer molecules.

see more

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown
a day ago

Feb 20, 2010 Innovating to zero! | Bill Gates

At TED2010, Bill Gates unveils his vision for the world's energy future, describing the need for "miracles" to avoid planetary catastrophe and explaining why he's backing a dramatically different type of nuclear reactor. The necessary goal? Zero carbon emissions globally by 2050.

see more

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal ➜ Gary Brown
21 hours ago edited

But 'warmers' do not pay the slightest attention to it.. 😡
We 'the people' need pitchforks, rakes and scythes.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown ➜ Voyt Regnal
19 hours ago

We are going to need more than pitchforks. The Pentagon has armed every police department with military grade armored vehicles, and fully automatic weapons.

When was the last fair or festival you have you been to? They proudly display them in plain sight. The Pentagon's 1033 program, a program that supplies military-grade equipment to local police departments, often for free.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

RegT ➜ Gary Brown
11 hours ago

And soon to be increased by 87,000 potentially-armed IRS agents, hired because they are willing to kill during tax violation investigations. Willing to kill non-violent individuals who are being INVESTIGATED for possible white-collar crimes, and have not even been convicted of any crime.
Obama, when running for president, talked about wanting his own federal police department. Looks like he is managing (through Biden and Congress) to make that come true.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown ➜ RegT
7 hours ago

You are informed on this topic RegT.

06/18/2016 Report: IRS Spent $11 Million On Guns, Ammo In The Past 10 Years

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) seems to be building an army. The IRS spent nearly $11 million on guns, ammunition and "military-style equipment" between 2006 and 2014, according to a new report. IRS investment in heavy firearms and tactical gear is a new trend for the agency, but it follows a pattern of militarization repeated throughout the executive branch of the government.

http://dailycaller.com/2016...

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal
6 hours ago

This what globalist do to us:
"The Criminal Insanity of Climate Change: Direct Energy Weapons (DEW) Create Forest and Bush Fires, Destroying Entire Cities and Igniting Boats in the Sea."
https://www.globalresearch....

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Peter van der Stam
6 hours ago

I find it amazing that this guy thinks that flying his JET all over the world does NOT cause CO2.
IS he a tech giant of a fake, having stolen IBM technology, had clever tech jokeys to alter it with HIS fathers money. and called it Windows, which is a hopeless system anyway.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap

We use cookies to ensure that we give you the best experience on our website. You consent to our cookies if you continue to use our website. OK Privacy Policy